

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report
For CDRU # 6609 Case file # 95-262624.

Material Examiner: Malone (RO)

Remarks:

Case resulted in a trial, testimony transcript not provided.

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: Steve Robertson

Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fiber

Review commenced at: 9:45 AM (Time), 10/24/02 (Date)

File #: 95-262624

Laboratory #(s): 40816013

Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed		Reviewed	Not Reviewed
RQ	X <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
TR, VM, WH, US	<input type="checkbox"/>	X <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: testimony from trial not provided

Testimony Date(s): _____ Pages: _____

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 40816013 Date: Dec. 28, 1984

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ and unidentified technician

Laboratory Number: 40816013

Page 1 of 3

Initials: SR

CRM 625 A

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 95-262624

#1. It cannot be determined from the notes if the tests were performed in a scientifically acceptable manner.

#2. The results are not adequately documented in the notes. The notes are not dated or initialed, are in pencil instead of ink and use abbreviations difficult to interpret. The technician did not document the recovery of hair of fibers from the evidence.

Review completed at: 10:15 AM (Time), 10/24/02 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.5 hrs.

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages.


(Signature)

Oct. 24, 2002
(Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials: SR

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report
For CDRU # 6609 Case file # 95-262624.

Material Examiner: Rudolph (US)

Remarks:

Case resulted in a trial, testimony transcript not provided.

CRM 386

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: Allan N. Walters

Area(s) of Expertise: plastics, fracture match

Review commenced at: 10:00 (Time), 2/6/02 (Date)

File #: 95-262624

Laboratory #(s): 408/6013 S/D RQ TR VM WH US

Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed		Reviewed	Not Reviewed
<u>Rudolph (US)</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: NONE

Testimony Date(s): _____ Pages: _____

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 408/6013 Date: 1/14/85

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Examiner Bench Notes of: Rudolph

Laboratory Number: 408/6013

Laboratory Number: _____

Laboratory Number: _____

Page 1 of 3

Initials: AW

CAM 886A

Was any other material reviewed? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: photos of fracture
matches, IR spectra

Results of Review

File #: 95-262624 Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q17-Q20, Q36-
Q41, Q21-Q24, Q28, Q31

Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

☒ Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials AW

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

Initials *AW*